



Crop Progress – Soybean Conditions

Monday, September 29, 2025


| Soybean Crop Conditions | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|------|------|--------|---------|-------|-------------|------------|------------|--------|
| GoodExcellent | 9/28 | 9/21 | Change | Last Yr | 10 Yr | High (Year) | Low(Year) | Yield Last | Area |
| Select States | 62% | 61% | +1% | 64% | 61% | 78% (1994) | 35% (2012) | 53.6 | 80.925 |
| Illinois | 52% | 52% | 0% | 72% | 66% | 88% (1992) | 22% (2012) | 65.0 | 10.300 |
| Iowa | 73% | 74% | -1% | 77% | 65% | 97% (1994) | 16% (2003) | 63.0 | 9.400 |
| Minnesota | 75% | 74% | +1% | 65% | 64% | 90% (1987) | 6% (1993) | 53.0 | 7.000 |
| Indiana | 58% | 56% | +2% | 61% | 59% | 83% (1993) | 23% (1999) | 62.0 | 5.400 |
| Missouri | 60% | 59% | +1% | 70% | 58% | 86% (1992) | 10% (2012) | 53.0 | 5.600 |
| Ohio | 40% | 40% | 0% | 43% | 57% | 83% (1986) | 12% (2002) | 57.0 | 4.900 |
| Nebraska | 77% | 76% | +1% | 68% | 66% | 95% (1994) | 14% (1989) | 57.0 | 4.800 |
| South Dakota | 74% | 74% | 0% | 60% | 54% | 94% (1994) | 24% (2021) | 47.0 | 5.100 |
| North Dakota | 56% | 55% | +1% | 67% | 54% | 84% (2010) | 16% (2021) | 36.0 | 6.600 |
| Kansas | 65% | 68% | -3% | 50% | 49% | 99% (1986) | 8% (2012) | 36.0 | 4.100 |
| Arkansas | 62% | 61% | +1% | 66% | 63% | 86% (1992) | 17% (1986) | 56.0 | 2.600 |
| Michigan | 44% | 40% | +4% | 59% | 59% | 80% (1990) | 23% (2001) | 52.0 | 2.050 |
| Wisconsin | 79% | 80% | -1% | 64% | 73% | 85% (2010) | 19% (2003) | 53.0 | 2.000 |
| Mississippi | 60% | 60% | 0% | 66% | 67% | 85% (1992) | 6% (1986) | 57.0 | 1.800 |
| Kentucky | 31% | 30% | +1% | 53% | 68% | 90% (2009) | 13% (1999) | 52.0 | 1.800 |
| Tennessee | 35% | 37% | -2% | 42% | 69% | 89% (1994) | 2% (1999) | 50.0 | 1.550 |
| North Carolina | 47% | 50% | -3% | 42% | 56% | 87% (1994) | 18% (2007) | 40.0 | 1.650 |
| Louisiana | 80% | 80% | 0% | 74% | 55% | 87% (2021) | 9% (2000) | 53.0 | 0.790 |
| PoorVeryPoor | 9/28 | 9/21 | Change | Last Yr | 10 Yr | High (Year) | Low(Year) | Yield Last | Area |
| Select States | 11% | 12% | -1% | 11% | 12% | 33% (2012) | 2% (1994) | 53.6 | 80.925 |
| Illinois | 22% | 24% | -2% | 5% | 10% | 41% (2012) | 0% (1992) | 65.0 | 10.300 |
| Iowa | 5% | 5% | 0% | 5% | 9% | 43% (2003) | 0% (1994) | 63.0 | 9.400 |
| Minnesota | 5% | 6% | -1% | 9% | 10% | 56% (1993) | 0% (1987) | 53.0 | 7.000 |
| Indiana | 13% | 13% | 0% | 10% | 13% | 36% (2012) | 1% (1993) | 62.0 | 5.400 |
| Missouri | 10% | 11% | -1% | 8% | 13% | 67% (2012) | 0% (1992) | 53.0 | 5.600 |
| Ohio | 17% | 19% | -2% | 25% | 14% | 53% (2002) | 0% (1986) | 57.0 | 4.900 |
| Nebraska | 3% | 4% | -1% | 9% | 13% | 52% (2002) | 0% (1994) | 57.0 | 4.800 |
| South Dakota | 8% | 7% | +1% | 10% | 16% | 39% (2012) | 0% (1994) | 47.0 | 5.100 |
| North Dakota | 11% | 9% | +2% | 8% | 16% | 44% (2021) | 4% (2010) | 36.0 | 6.600 |
| Kansas | 9% | 8% | +1% | 19% | 20% | 66% (2012) | 0% (1993) | 36.0 | 4.100 |
| Arkansas | 8% | 9% | -1% | 12% | 11% | 44% (2000) | 0% (1994) | 56.0 | 2.600 |
| Michigan | 18% | 16% | +2% | 12% | 11% | 38% (2001) | 3% (1990) | 52.0 | 2.050 |
| Wisconsin | 5% | 5% | 0% | 10% | 8% | 46% (2003) | 3% (2010) | 53.0 | 2.000 |
| Mississippi | 6% | 6% | 0% | 7% | 8% | 66% (1986) | 0% (1994) | 57.0 | 1.800 |
| Kentucky | 36% | 40% | -4% | 17% | 10% | 60% (1999) | 0% (1993) | 52.0 | 1.800 |
| Tennessee | 28% | 26% | +2% | 25% | 8% | 82% (1999) | 0% (1994) | 50.0 | 1.550 |
| North Carolina | 15% | 17% | -2% | 27% | 14% | 60% (2007) | 0% (1994) | 40.0 | 1.650 |
| Louisiana | 0% | 0% | 0% | 7% | 16% | 64% (2000) | 2% (2020) | 53.0 | 0.790 |

This report includes information from sources believed to be reliable and accurate as of the date of this publication, but no independent verification has been made and we do not guarantee its accuracy or completeness. Opinions expressed are subject to change without notice. Any information or recommendation contained herein: (i) is not based on, or tailored to, the commodity interest or cash market positions or other circumstances or characterizations of particular investors or traders; (ii) is not customized or personalized for any such investor or trader; and (iii) does not take into consideration, among other things, risk tolerance, net worth, or available risk capital. Any use or reliance upon the information or recommendations is at the sole discretion and election of the subscriber. The risk of loss in trading futures contracts or commodity options can be substantial, and traders should carefully consider the inherent risks of such trading in light of their financial condition. Any reproduction or retransmission of this report without the express written consent of The Hightower Report is strictly prohibited.



Crop Progress – Soybean Conditions

Monday, September 29, 2025



This report includes information from sources believed to be reliable and accurate as of the date of this publication, but no independent verification has been made and we do not guarantee its accuracy or completeness. Opinions expressed are subject to change without notice. Any information or recommendation contained herein: (i) is not based on, or tailored to, the commodity interest or cash market positions or other circumstances or characterizations of particular investors or traders; (ii) is not customized or personalized for any such investor or trader; and (iii) does not take into consideration, among other things, risk tolerance, net worth, or available risk capital. Any use or reliance upon the information or recommendations is at the sole discretion and election of the subscriber. The risk of loss in trading futures contracts or commodity options can be substantial, and traders should carefully consider the inherent risks of such trading in light of their financial condition. Any reproduction or retransmission of this report without the express written consent of The Hightower Report is strictly prohibited.